Appl. No. 10/643,557 Amdt Dated: Oct.7, 2005 Reply to Office Action of Aug. 8, 2005

REMARKS

Applicants highly appreciate the Examiner's indication of allowability of claim 17.

In this office action, Claims 18, 20 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding amended Claim 18, "the fixing structure" is replaced by "the fixing structures" to overcome informality problem.

Regarding amended Claim 20, "defined in the opposite side face" is added between "the fixing hole" and "of the storage device" to overcome informality problem.

Regarding amended Claim 21, "form" is replaced by "from" to overcome informality problem.

Claims 16, 18, 19, 21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Root (US Patent no. 3,182,087). Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C 103(a) as being unpatentable over Root (US patent no. 3,182,807) in view of Dials et al (US patet no. 6,418,012).

Claim 17 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Regarding Claim 16 of present invention, Applicant has rewritten Claim 16 to include all of the limitations of Claim 17. Therefore, amended Claim 16 is believed to be allowable.

Claim 17 is canceled.

Appl. No. 10/643,557 Amdt Dated: Oct.7, 2005

Reply to Office Action of Aug. 8, 2005

Claims 18-22 are also allowable since they depend from allowable amended claim 1 directly or indirectly.

In view of the foregoing, the subject application as claimed in the pending claim is in a condition for allowance and an action to such effect is earnestly solicited.

In this office action, it is stated that the claimed feature of the first and second sidewalls being outwardly deflectable relative to the bottom wall is not found in the foreign application. Applicants do not agree that the claimed feature cannot be found in the foreign application. In opposite, Applicants believe that the claimed feature is inherently disclosed by the specification, and essentially evident from the drawings of the foreign application of the present invention. Applicants respectfully request the Examiner reconsider this point and acknowledge the basis upon the foreign application.

Respectfully submitted,

Chen et al.

Registration No.: 43,325

Foxconn International, Inc.

Te Chung

P. O. Address: 1650 Memorex Drive, Santa Clara, CA 95050

Tel No.: (408) 919-6137